

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,841	11/25/2003	Solomon Assefa	MIT10307	6945
7:	90 05/05/2006		EXAMINER	
Matthew E. Connors			NGUYEN, DUNG T	
Gauthier & Cor	mors LLP		<u></u>	
225 Franklin St	225 Franklin Street			PAPER NUMBER
Suite 2300			2828	
Poston MA (2110			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			HA			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/721,841	ASSEFA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dung (Michael) T. Nguyen	2828				
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence addres	s			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ication. tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fill, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed from the mailing date of this community ENED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 02 February 2006					
,	∑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for	/—	prosecution as to the me	rits is			
closed in accordance with the practice	•	•				
Disposition of Claims						
4)	withdrawn from consideration. 44,53-56,58 and 62-64 is/are rejected. 61 and 65-72 is/are objected to.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection	- ', '	, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-18	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action for	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in Applic the priority documents have been rece all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No sived in this National Stag	ge			
Attachment(s)						
1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	4) 🔲 Interview Summ 0-948)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	al Patent Application (PTO-152))			

DETAILED ACTION

The remarks filed on 02/02/06 is acknowledged.

After carefully reviewing the amended claims, the Johnson prior art is still read on the amended claims as following:

Specification

Claims 4, 7, 20, 23, 35, and 55 are objected to because of the following informalities: the specification does not disclose the limitation of these above claims. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claims 7, 23, 35, and 55 are objected to because of the following informalities: it is not clear how less reflective one or more of the optical reflectors are. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,841

Art Unit: 2828

Claims 1-8, 10, 16-24, 26, 32-36, 38, 42, 53-56, 58, and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnson et al. (6198860).

With respect to claims 1, 8, 17, 24, 33-36, 53-54, and 56, Fig. 12B shows a microcavity structure comprising: a first waveguide 1200 including a first photonic crystal microcavity (col. 1, 1.62); and a second waveguide 1202 including a second photonic crystal microcavity (col. 1, 1.62); and a microcavity active region (resonant structure) (col. 2, 1.33-40) that is created by overlapping said first and second microcavities; wherein said first waveguide and second waveguide comprise means for electrical activation (see claim 39).

With respect to claims 2 and 18, Fig. 12B shows said microcavity overlap is defined by crossing of at least two of the said microcavity waveguide at an angle (waveguides 1200 and 1202 are overlapped by 90 degrees).

With respect to claims 3-5, 6-7, 19-23, 35, and 55, Col.7, 1.21-23 and Fig.12B discloses the variation in material refractive index of the holes 1204 in the waveguides (as disclosed in the specification of this application, the variation in material refractive index of the holes will act as optical reflectors), where the claimed and prior art structures are the same, claimed properties (the microcavities being reflective) may be presumed inherent.

With respect to claims 16, 32, 42, and 62, Fig. 12B shows at least one of said waveguides is used to guide light. They are disclosed as waveguides, therefore by definition they guide light.

Art Unit: 2828

With respect to claims 10, 26, 38, and 58, it is inherent that at least a contact is coupled to each of the waveguides in order to have the current conduction.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14-15, 30-31, 43-44, and 63-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson et al. (6198860) in view of Scherer et al. (6711200). Johnson disclose all limitations of the claims except for the quantum dot active material.

Scherer teach the quantum dot active material (col.4, 1.27-31).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Johnson what is taught by Scherer to provide an ideal material for fabricating active structures with high surface to volume ratios such as photonic laser microcavities (col.4, 1.28-31).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11-13, 27-29, 39-41, 45-52, 59-61, and 65-72 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,841

Art Unit: 2828

Communication Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung (Michael) T Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1949. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Min Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

Michael Dung Nguyen

Michel an

04/20/06

Page 5